

Monomorphisms between Cayley-Dickson Algebras

Guillermo Moreno
 Departamento de Matemáticas
 CINVESTAV del IPN
 México, D.F.
 gmoreno@math.cinvestav.mx

Abstract: In this paper we study the algebra monomorphisms from $\mathbb{A}_m = \mathbb{R}^{2^m}$ into $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$, where \mathbb{A}_n are the Cayley-Dickson algebras. For $n \geq 4$, we show that there are many types of monomorphisms and we describe them in terms of the zero divisors in \mathbb{A}_n .

Key words and phrases: Cayley-Dickson, Quaternions, Octonions, non-associative, alternative, flexible and G_2 .

AMS Subject classification: 17A99

Introduction: The Cayley-Dickson algebras \mathbb{A}_n over the real numbers is an algebra structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2^n} = \mathbb{A}_n$ for $n \geq 0$.

By definition the Cayley-Dickson algebras (C-D algebras) are given by doubling process of Dickson [D].

For (a, b) and (x, y) in $\mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$, define the product in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$ as follows:

$$(a, b) \cdot (x, y) = (ax - \bar{y}b, ya + b\bar{x}).$$

So if $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{x} = x$ for all x in \mathbb{R} then $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}$ the complex numbers $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ the quaternion numbers and $\mathbb{A}_3 = \mathbb{O}$ the octonion numbers.

As is well known \mathbb{A}_n is commutative for $n \leq 1$; associative for $n \leq 2$ and alternative for $n \leq 3$, also \mathbb{A}_n is normed for $n \leq 3$.

For $n \geq 4$ \mathbb{A}_n is flexible and has zero divisors [Mo₁].

Let $Aut(\mathbb{A}_n)$ be the automorphism group of the algebra \mathbb{A}_n .

As is well known

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_1) = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{ \text{Identity, Conjugation} \}.$$

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_2) = SO(3) \text{ the rotation group in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_3) = G_2 \text{ the exceptional Lie group.}$$

(See [H-Y] and [Wh]).

For $n \geq 4$, Eakin-Sathaye showed that

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_n) = Aut(\mathbb{A}_{n-1}) \times \sum_3.$$

Where \sum_3 is the symmetric group of order 6.

(See [E-K] and [Mo₂]).

In this paper we will extend the above results in the following sense:

Suppose that $1 \leq m \leq n$.

By definition an algebra monomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_n$ is a linear monomorphism such that (i) $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$ and (ii) $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all x and y in \mathbb{A}_m where $e_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the unit element in \mathbb{A}_m and \mathbb{A}_n respectively.

We will describe the set

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m, \mathbb{A}_n) = \{ \varphi : \mathbb{A}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_n \mid \varphi \text{ algebra monomorphism} \}.$$

We will see that this set is more complicated to describe for $n \geq 4$.

For $n \leq 3$ we will recover known results about the relationship between $Aut(\mathbb{A}_n)$ and the Stiefel manifolds $V_{2^n-1,2}$.

For $n \geq 3$, recall that $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2^n-1}\}$ denotes the canonical basis in \mathbb{A}_n and that the doubling process is given by

$$\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{A}_n \oplus \mathbb{A}_n \tilde{e}_0$$

where $\tilde{e}_0 := e_{2^n}$ (half of the way basic in \mathbb{A}_{n+1}).

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m; \mathbb{A}_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 3$,

φ is of type I if $e_{2^n} = \tilde{e}_0 \in (Im\varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and φ is of type II if also $e_{2^{n-1}} := \varepsilon \in (Im\varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

The main result of this paper is Theorem 2.5: the set of type II monomorphisms from \mathbb{A}_3 to \mathbb{A}_{n+1} can be described by the set of zero divisors in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} for $n \geq 4$.

§1. Pure and doubly pure elements in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} .

Throughout this paper we will establish the following notational conventions: Elements in \mathbb{A}_n will be denoted by Latin characters a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z . Elements in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} will be denoted by Greek characters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$. For example,

$$\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n.$$

When we need to represent elements in \mathbb{A}_n as elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ we use subscripts, for instance, $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2)$, and so on, with a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 in \mathbb{A}_{n-1} .

Now $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2^n-1}\}$ denotes the canonical basis in \mathbb{A}_n . Then by the doubling process

$$\{(e_0, 0), (e_1, 0), \dots, (e_{2^n-1}, 0), (0, e_0), \dots, (0, e_{2^n-1})\}$$

is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$. By standard abuse of notation, we denote, also $e_0 = (e_0, 0)$, $e_1 = (e_1, 0)$, \dots , $e_{2^n-1} = (e_{2^n-1}, 0)$, $e_{2^n} = (0, e_0)$, \dots , $e_{2^{n+1}-1} = (0, e_{2^n-1})$ in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} .

For $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ we denote $\tilde{\alpha} = (-b, a)$ (the complexification of α) so $\tilde{e}_0 = (0, e_0)$ and $\alpha\tilde{e}_0 = (a, b)(0, e_0) = (-b, a) = \tilde{\alpha}$. Notice that $\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}} = -\alpha$.

The **trace** on \mathbb{A}_{n+1} is the linear map $t_{n+1} : \mathbb{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $t_{n+1}(\alpha) = \alpha + \tilde{\alpha} = 2(\text{real part of } \alpha)$ so $t_{n+1}(\alpha) = t_n(a)$ when $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$.

Definition: $\alpha = (a, b)$ in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} is pure if

$$t_{n+1}(\alpha) = t_n(a) = 0.$$

$\alpha = (a, b)$ in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} is doubly pure if it is pure and also $t_n(b) = 0$; i.e., $\tilde{\alpha}$ is pure in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} .

Also $2\langle a, b \rangle = t_n(a\bar{b})$ for \langle, \rangle the inner product in \mathbb{R}^{2^n} (see [Mo₁]).

Notice that for a and b pure elements $a \perp b$ if and only if $ab = -ba$.

Notation: $Im(\mathbb{A}_n) = \{e_o\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_n$ is the vector subspace consisting of pure elements in \mathbb{A}_n ; i.e., $Im(\mathbb{A}_n) = \text{Ker}(t_n) = \mathbb{R}^{2^n-1}$.

$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1} = Im(\mathbb{A}_n) \times Im(\mathbb{A}_n) = \{e_o, \tilde{e}_0\}^\perp = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n+1}-2}$ is the vector subspace consisting of doubly pure elements in \mathbb{A}_{n+1} .

Lemma 1.1. For a and b in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ we have that

- 1) $a\tilde{e}_0 = \tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{e}_0a = -\tilde{a}$.
- 2) $a\tilde{a} = -||a||^2\tilde{e}_0$ and $\tilde{a}a = ||a||^2\tilde{e}_0$ so $a \perp \tilde{a}$.
- 3) $\tilde{a}b = -a\tilde{b}$ with a a pure element.
- 4) $a \perp b$ if and only if $\tilde{a}b + \tilde{b}a = 0$.
- 5) $\tilde{a} \perp b$ if and only if $ab = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}$.
- 6) $\tilde{a}b = a\tilde{b}$ if and only if $a \perp b$ and $\tilde{a} \perp b$.

Proof: Notice that a is pure if $\bar{a} = -a$ and if $a = (a_1, a_2)$ is doubly pure, then $\bar{a}_1 = -a_1$ and $\bar{a}_2 = -a_2$.

- 1) $\tilde{e}_0a = (0, e_0)(a_1, a_2) = (-\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1) = (a_2, -a_1) = -(-a_2, a_1) = -\tilde{a}$.
- 2) $a\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2)(-a_2, a_1) = (-a_1a_2 + a_1a_2, a_1^2 + a_2^2) = (0, -||a||^2e_0) = -||a||^2\tilde{e}_0$.

Similarly $\tilde{a}a = (-a_2, a_1)(a_1, a_2) = (-a_2a_1 + a_2a_1, -a_2^2 - a_1^2) = ||a||^2\tilde{e}_0$.

Now, since $-2\langle \tilde{a}, a \rangle = a\tilde{a} + \tilde{a}a = 0$ we have $a \perp \tilde{a}$.

- 3) $\tilde{a}b = (-a_2, a_1)(b_1, b_2) = (-a_2b_1 + b_2a_1, -b_2a_2 - a_1b_1)$.

So $\tilde{\tilde{a}}b = (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2, b_2a_1 - a_2b_1) = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = ab$ then $-\tilde{a}b = \tilde{\tilde{a}}b$.

Notice that in this proof we only use that $\bar{a}_1 = -a_1$; i.e., a is pure and b doubly pure.

$$4) \ a \perp b \Leftrightarrow ab + ba = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = -ba \Leftrightarrow \tilde{a}b = -\tilde{b}a.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\tilde{a}b = \tilde{b}a \Leftrightarrow \tilde{a}b + \tilde{b}a = 0 \text{ by (3).}$$

$$5) \ \tilde{a} \perp b \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\tilde{a}}b + \tilde{b}\tilde{a} = 0 \text{ (by (4)) } \Leftrightarrow -ab + \tilde{b}\tilde{a} = 0.$$

$$6) \text{ If } \tilde{a} \perp b \text{ and } a \perp b, \text{ then by (3) and (4) } \tilde{a}b = -\tilde{a}b = \tilde{b}a = -\tilde{b}a = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}.$$

Conversely, put $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ and define $c := (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2)$ and $d := (b_2a_1 - a_2b_1)$ in \mathbb{A}_{n-1} .

Then $\tilde{a}b = (a_1, a_2)(-b_2, b_1) = (-a_1b_2 + b_1a_2, b_1a_1 + a_2b_2)$ so $\tilde{a}b = (-\bar{d}, \bar{c})$.

Now $ab = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2, b_2a_1 - a_2b_1) = (c, d)$, so $\tilde{a}b = (-d, c)$ and then $\tilde{a}b = (d, -c)$.

Thus, if $\tilde{a}b = \tilde{a}b$ then $\bar{c} = -c$ and $d = -\bar{d}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(ab) &= t_{n-1}(c) = c + \bar{c} = 0 \text{ and } a \perp b \\ t_n(\tilde{a}b) &= t_{n-1}(d) = d + \bar{d} = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{a} \perp b. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D

Corollary 1.2 For each $a \neq 0$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$. The four dimensional vector subspace generated by $\{e_0, \tilde{a}, a, \tilde{e}_0\}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$. (We denote it by \mathbb{H}_a).

Proof: We suppose that $\|a\| = 1$, otherwise we take $\frac{a}{\|a\|}$. Construct the following multiplication table.

	e_0	\tilde{a}	a	\tilde{e}_0
e_0	e_0	\tilde{a}	a	\tilde{e}_0
\tilde{a}	\tilde{a}	$-e_0$	$+\tilde{e}_0$	$-a$
a	a	$-\tilde{e}_0$	$-e_0$	\tilde{a}
\tilde{e}_0	a	\tilde{e}_0	$-\tilde{a}$	$-e_0$

By lemma 2.1. $a\tilde{e}_0 = \tilde{a}$; $\tilde{e}_0a = -\tilde{a}$; $\tilde{a}\tilde{e}_0 = \tilde{\tilde{a}} = -a$; $\tilde{e}_0\tilde{a} = -\tilde{\tilde{a}} = a$; $a\tilde{a} = -\tilde{e}_0$ and $\tilde{a}a = \tilde{e}_0$.

But this is the multiplication table of $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ identifying $e_0 \leftrightarrow 1$, $\tilde{a} \leftrightarrow \hat{i}$, $a \leftrightarrow \hat{j}$ and $\tilde{e}_0 \leftrightarrow \hat{k}$.

Q.E.D.

§ 2. Monomorphism from \mathbb{A}_m to \mathbb{A}_n .

Throughout this chapter $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Definition. An algebra monomorphism from \mathbb{A}_m to \mathbb{A}_n is a linear monomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_n$ such that

- i) $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$ (the first e_0 is in \mathbb{A}_m and the second e_0 in \mathbb{A}_n)
- ii) $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all x and y in \mathbb{A}_m .

By definition we have that $\varphi(re_0) = r\varphi(e_0)$ for all r in \mathbb{R} so $\varphi(Im(\mathbb{A}_m)) \subset \varphi(Im(\mathbb{A}_n))$ and $\varphi(\bar{x}) = \varphi(x)$.

Therefore $\|\varphi(x)\|^2 = \varphi(x)\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)\varphi(\bar{x}) = \varphi(x\bar{x}) = \varphi(\|x\|^2) = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}_m$ and $\|\varphi(x)\| = \|x\|$ and φ is an orthogonal linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^{2^m-1} to \mathbb{R}^{2^n-1} .

The trivial monomorphism is the one given by $\varphi(x) = (x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $x \in \mathbb{A}_m$ and 0 in \mathbb{A}_m (2^{n-m-1} -times).

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m, \mathbb{A}_n)$ denotes the set of algebra monomorphisms from \mathbb{A}_m to \mathbb{A}_n .

For $m = n$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_n; \mathbb{A}_n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ the group of algebra automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_n

Proposition 2.1. $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_1; \mathbb{A}_n) = S(Im(\mathbb{A}_n)) = S^{2^n-2}$.

Proof: $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C} = \text{Span}\{e_0, e_1\}$.

If $x \in \mathbb{A}_1$ then $x = re_0 + se_1$ and for $w \in Im(\mathbb{A}_n)$ with $\|w\| = 1$ we have that $\varphi_w(x) = re_0 + sw$ define an algebra monomorphism from \mathbb{A}_1 to \mathbb{A}_n . This can be seen by direct calculations, recalling that, $\text{Center}(\mathbb{A}_n) = \mathbb{R}$ for all n and that every associator with one real entrie vanish.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_w(x)\varphi_w(y) &= (re_0 + sw)(pe_0 + qw) = (rp + sqw^2)e_0 + (rq + sp)w \\ &= (rp - sq)e_0 + (rq + sp)w \\ &= \varphi_w(x)\varphi_w(y) \end{aligned}$$

when $y = pe_0 + qe_1$ and p and q in \mathbb{R} . Clearly $\varphi_w(e_0) = e_0$.

Conversely, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_1; \mathbb{A}_n)$, set $w = \varphi(e_1)$ in \mathbb{A}_n so $\|w\| = 1$ and $\varphi_w = \varphi$.

Q.E.D.

Remark: In particular, we have that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_1) = S^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{\text{Identity, conjugation}\} = \{\varphi_{e_1}, \varphi_{-e_1}\}.$$

To calculate $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2; \mathbb{A}_n)$ for $n \geq 2$ we need to recall (see [Mo₂]).

Definition: For a and b in \mathbb{A}_n . We said that a alternate with b , we denote it by $a \rightsquigarrow b$, if $(a, a, b) = 0$.

We said that a alternate strongly with b , we denote it by $a \rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow b$, if $(a, a, b) = 0$ and $(a, \bar{b}, b) = 0$.

Clearly a alternate strongly with e_0 for all a in \mathbb{A}_n and if a and b are linearly dependent then $a \rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow b$ (by flexibility).

Also, by definition, a is an alternative element if and only if $a \rightsquigarrow x$ for all x in \mathbb{A}_n .

By Lemma 1.1 (1) and (2) we have that for any doubly pure element a in \mathbb{A}_n $(a, a, \tilde{e}_0) = 0$ and (by the above remarks) \tilde{e}_0 alternate strongly with any a in \mathbb{A}_n .

For a and b pure elements in \mathbb{A}_n , we define the vector subspace of \mathbb{A}_n

$$V(a; b) = \text{Span}\{e_0, a, b, ab\}.$$

Also we identify the Stiefel manifold $V_{2^n-1,2}$ as

$$\{(a, b) \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{A}_n) \times \text{Im}(\mathbb{A}_n) | a \perp b, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1\}$$

Lemma 2.2. If $(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and $a \rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow b$ then $V(a; b) = \mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ the quaternions.

Proof: Suppose that $(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and that $(a, a, b) = 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab, a \rangle &= \langle b, \bar{a}a \rangle = \langle b, \|a\|^2 e_0 \rangle = \|a\|^2 \langle b, e_0 \rangle = 0 \\ \langle ab, a \rangle &= \langle a, b\bar{b} \rangle = \langle a, \|b\|^2 e_0 \rangle = \|b\|^2 \langle a, e_0 \rangle = 0 \\ \|ab\|^2 = \langle ab, ab \rangle &= \langle \bar{a}(ab), b \rangle = \langle -a(ab), b \rangle = \langle -a^2 b, b \rangle \\ &= -a^2 \langle b, b \rangle = \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

so $\{e_0, a, b, ab\}$ is an orthonormal set of vectors in \mathbb{A}_n .

Finally using also that $(a, b, b) = 0$ and $ab = -ba$ we may check by direct calculations that the multiplication table of $\{e_0, a, b, ab\}$ coincides with the one of the quaternions and by the identification $e_0 \mapsto e_0, a \mapsto e_1, b \mapsto e_2$ and $ab \mapsto e_3$ we have an algebra isomorphism between $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ and $V(a; b)$.

Q.E. D.

Proposition 2.3. $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2; \mathbb{A}_n) = \{(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2} | a \rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow b\}$ for $n \geq 2$.

In particular

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_2) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2; \mathbb{A}_2) = V_{3,2} = SO(3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_3) = V_{7,2}.$$

Proof. The inclusion “ \supset ” follows from Lemma 2.2. Conversely suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_n)$ then $\varphi(e_0) = e_0, (\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2)) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and

$$V(\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2)) = \text{Im}\varphi = \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{A}_n.$$

Since \mathbb{A}_2 is an associative algebra and \mathbb{A}_3 is an alternative algebra we have that $a \rightsquigarrow b$ for any two elements in \mathbb{A}_n for $n = 2$ or $n = 3$.

Q.E.D.

Remark. Recall that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n = \{e_0, \tilde{e}_0\}^\perp = \mathbb{R}^{2^n-2}$ denotes the vector subspace of doubly pure elements. Since $a \rightsquigarrow \tilde{e}_0$ for any element in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$, we have that, if $a \in S(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n)$ i.e., $\|a\| = 1$ then $(a, \tilde{e}_0) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and the assignment $a \mapsto (a, \tilde{e}_0)$ define an inclusion from $S(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n) = S^{2^n-3} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2; \mathbb{A}_n) \subset V_{2^n-1,2}$ which resembles “the bottom cell” inclusion in $V_{2^n-1,2}$.

To deal with the cases $3 = m \leq n$ we have to use the notion of a special triple (see [Wh] and [Mo₁]).

Definition: A set $\{a, b, c\}$ in $Im(\mathbb{A}_n)$ is a special triple if

- (i) $\{a, b, c\}$ is an orthonormal set
- (ii) $a \rightsquigarrow b, a \rightsquigarrow c$ and $b \rightsquigarrow c$ i.e. its elements alternate strongly, pairwise.
- (iii) $c \in V(a; b)^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_n$.

Now is easy to see that if $\{a, b, c\}$ is a special triple then $V(a; b); V(a; c); V(b, c)$ are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}_2 .

For a special triple $\{a, b, c\}$ consider the following vector subspace of \mathbb{A}_n

$$\emptyset(a; b; c) := \text{Span}\{e_0, a, b, ab, c(ab), cb, ac, c\}.$$

Proposition 2.4: For a special triple $\{a, b, c\}$ in \mathbb{A}_n and $n \geq 3$; $\emptyset(a, b, c)$ is an eight-dimensional vector subspace isomorphic, as algebra, to $\mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$ the octonions and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_3, \mathbb{A}_n) = \{(a, b, c) \in (\mathbb{A}_n)^3 | \{a, b, c\} \text{ special triple}\}$.

Proof: We know that all elements in $\{e_0, a, b, ab, c(ab), cb, ac, c\}$ are of norm one and also

$$\langle c(ab), a \rangle = -\langle ab, ac \rangle = \langle a(ab), c \rangle = \langle a^2b, c \rangle = a^2 \langle b, c \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly $(c(ab)) \perp b$ and $(c(ab)) \perp c$. Thus $\{e_0, a, b, ab, c(ab), cb, ac, c\}$ is an orthonormal set of vectors and $\phi(a; b; c)$ is eight-dimensional. To see that $\phi(a; b; c) \cong \mathbb{A}_3$ we have to construct the corresponding multiplication table, which is, a routine calculation. (See [Mo₂])

Conversely, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_3, \mathbb{A}_n)$ then $a = \varphi(e_1)$, $b = \varphi(e_2)$ and $c = \varphi(e_7)$ form an special triple, when $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ is the canonical basis in \mathbb{A}_3 , and we recall that $e_1e_2 = e_3$, $e_7e_3 = e_4$, $e_7e_2 = e_5$ and $e_1e_7 = e_6$ in \mathbb{A}_3 .

Q.E.D.

Remark. For $n = 3$, \mathbb{A}_3 is an alternative algebra so a special triple in \mathbb{A}_3 is every triple such that

- (i) $\{a, b, c\}$ is orthonormal
- (ii) $c \perp (ab)$.

So Proposition 4.4 gives the construction of $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_3)$ as in [Wh] and the assignment

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_3) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_3) = V_{7,2} \\ (a, b, c) &\mapsto (a, b) \end{aligned}$$

is the known fibration $G_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} V_{7,2}$ with fiber S^3 .

Remark. Suppose that $n \geq 4$ and that $\{a, b, c\}$ is a special triple in \mathbb{A}_n so $\phi(a; b; c)$ is the image of some algebra monomorphism from \mathbb{A}_3 to \mathbb{A}_n and any orthonormal triple $\{x, y, z\}$ of pure elements in $\phi(a; b; c)$ with $z \perp (xy)$ is also a special triple in \mathbb{A}_n and

$$\phi(x; y; z) = \phi(a; b; c).$$

Definition: For $1 \leq m \leq n$. $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m, \mathbb{A}_n)$ is a type I monomorphism if $\tilde{e}_0 \in (\text{Image of } \varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}A_n$.

Since $\tilde{e}_0 = e_{2^{n-1}}$ in $\mathbb{A}A_n$ then the trivial monomorphism is not a type I monomorphism unless $n = m$, because by definition its image is generated by $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2^{m-1}-1}\}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_m; \mathbb{A}A_n) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_m; \mathbb{A}A_n) | \tilde{e}_0 \in (\text{Im } \varphi)\}$ the subset of all type I monomorphisms, clearly $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_n, \mathbb{A}A_n) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_n; \mathbb{A}A_n) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}A_n)$.

Using proposition 2.1 we may verify that for $n \geq 2$.

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_1, \mathbb{A}A_n) = \{re_0 + s\tilde{e}_0 | r^2 + s^2 = 1\} = S^1.$$

Also, by Lemma 2.2, for a non-zero $a \in \mathbb{A}A_n$ we have that $V(a; \tilde{e}_0) = \mathbb{A}H_a$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_2; \mathbb{A}A_n) = S(\mathbb{A}A_n) = S^{2^n-3}$$

. In particular

$$S^5 = \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_2; \mathbb{A}A_3) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_2; \mathbb{A}A_3) = V_{7,2}$$

is “the bottom cell” of $V_{7,2}$.

Also we can check, using the fact that $a \rightsquigarrow \tilde{e}_0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}A_n$, that

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_3; \mathbb{A}A_n) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_2; \mathbb{A}A_n) \cap V_{2^n-2,2}$$

where $V_{2^n-2,2} = \{(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2} | a \text{ and } b \text{ are in } \mathbb{A}A_n\}$.

Definition: A type I monomorphism $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_m; \mathbb{A}A_{n+1})$ is of type II if

$$e_{2^n-1} := \varepsilon \in (\text{Im } \varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}.$$

By definition if $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{A}A_m; \mathbb{A}A_{n+1})$ then $\tilde{e}_0 \in (\text{Im } \varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ and if we also assume that $\varepsilon \in (\text{Im } \varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ then $\varepsilon \tilde{e}_0 = \tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbb{A}H_\varepsilon := \text{Span}\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}_0\}$ lies in $(\text{Im } \varphi) \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$; therefore

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_m, \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}) \text{ is of type II if and only if } \mathbb{A}H_\varepsilon \subset (\text{Im } \varphi).$$

Denote $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{A}A_m, \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}A_m; \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}) | \varphi \text{ is type II}\}$.

Theorem 2.5 For $n \geq 3$.

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{A}A_3; \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}) = \mathbb{A}CP^{2^n-1-1} \cup \overline{X}_n$$

where $\mathbb{A}CP^m$ is the complex projective space in $\mathbb{A}C^m$ and

$$\overline{X}_n = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}A_n \times \mathbb{A}A_n | xy = 0, x \neq 0 \text{ and } y \neq 0\}.$$

In particular for $n = 3$ $\overline{X}_3 = \Phi$ (empty set) and $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{A}A_3; \mathbb{A}A_4) = \mathbb{A}CP^3$.

Proof: Suppose that $\varphi : \mathbb{A}A_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ is an algebra monomorphism for $n \geq 3$ with $\mathbb{A}H_\varepsilon \subset (\text{Im } \varphi)$. So $\text{Im } \varphi$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}O = \mathbb{A}A_3$, as algebras, then there is a non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}H_\varepsilon^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ such that

$$\text{Im } \varphi = \mathbb{A}O_\alpha := \text{Span}\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}_0, \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha, \alpha\} \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$$

Suppose that $\alpha = (a, b) \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}A_n \times \tilde{\mathbb{A}}A_n = \mathbb{A}H_\varepsilon^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ and that $a \neq 0$ (similarly we may assume $b \neq 0$).

Now $Im \varphi = \mathbb{A}O_\alpha = \mathbb{A}A_3$ if and only if

$$(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) := \alpha^2 \varepsilon - \alpha(\alpha \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad -||\alpha||^2 \varepsilon = \alpha(\alpha \varepsilon).$$

Using Lemma 1.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\alpha \varepsilon) &= (a, b)[(a, b)(\tilde{e}_0, 0)] = (a, b)[(\tilde{a}, -\tilde{b})] = \\ &= (a\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}b, -\tilde{b}a - b\tilde{a}) = (-||a||^2 \tilde{e}_0 - ||b||^2 \tilde{e}_0, 0) - (0, (b\tilde{e}_0)a - b(\tilde{e}_0 a)) \\ &= -||\alpha||^2 \varepsilon + (0, (a, \tilde{e}_0, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(a, \tilde{e}_0, b) = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}A_n$ if and only if $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$.

Since $\mathbb{A}A_n = \mathbb{A}H_a \oplus \mathbb{A}H_a^\perp$ we have that $b = c + d$ where $c \in \mathbb{A}H_a$ and $d \in \mathbb{A}H_a^\perp$ with c doubly pure i.e., $c \in Span\{a, \tilde{a}\} \subset \mathbb{A}H_a$.

Since $\mathbb{A}H_a$ is associative we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, \tilde{e}_0, b) &= (a, \tilde{e}_0, c + d) = (a, \tilde{e}_0, c) + (a, \tilde{e}_0, d) = 0 + (a, \tilde{e}_0, d) \\ &= (a, \tilde{e}_0, d) = \tilde{a}d + a\tilde{d} = 2\tilde{a}d \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.1 (1), (2) and (6).

Therefore $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}A_{n+1}$ if and only if $ad = \tilde{a}d = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}A_n$.

So, we have two cases: namely $d = 0$ and $d \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{A}A_n$.

Suppose $d = 0$. Thus $b = c \in \mathbb{A}H_a$ and $b \in Span\{a, \tilde{a}\}$ so (a, b) determine a complex line in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}A_n = \mathbb{R}^{2^n-2} = \mathbb{A}C^{2^{n-1}-1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}CP^{2^{n-1}-1}$.

Suppose $d \neq 0$ (so $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$).

Thus $ad = 0$ and $(a, d) \in \overline{X}_n$.

Q.E.D.

References

- [D] L.E. Dickson. On quaternions and their generalization and the history of the 8 square theorem. *Annals of Math*; 20 155-171, 1919.
- [E-K] Eakin-Sathaye. On automorphisms and derivations of Cayley-Dickson algebras. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 129, 263-280, 1990.
- [H-Y] S.H. Khalil and P. Yiu. The Cayley-Dickson algebras: A theorem of Hurwitz and quaternions. *Boletín de la Sociedad de Lódz* Vol. XLVIII 117-169. 1997.
- [Mo₁] G. Moreno. The zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson algebras over the real numbers. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* 4 13-27. 1998
- [Mo₂] G. Moreno. Alternative elements in the Cayley-Dickson algebras. hopf.math.purdue.edu/pub/Moreno.2001 and math.RA/0404395.
- [Wh] G. Whitehead. *Elements of Homotopy theory*. Graduate text in Math. 61 Springer-Verlag.